

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/008,895	12/07/2001	Alfred Preukschat	,	5243
7590 04/18/2005			EXAMINER	
DR. MAX FOGIEL			NGUYEN, XUAN LAN T	
44 MAPLE COURT				
HIGHLAND PARK, NJ 08904			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	3683	
			DATE MAILED: 04/18/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/008,895	PREUKSCHAT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lan Nguyen	3683				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was railure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ma	arch 2005.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.4-8.10 and 11 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4.5.7 and 8 is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.6.10 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	ndrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 December 2001</u> is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original than the correction of the correction of the property of	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square objector drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Seufert.

Re: claims 1 and 10, Seufert shows a regulated dashpot with shock-absorption force controls in figure 1, for motor vehicles, as in the present invention, comprising: at least one flow-regulating system including at least one shock-absorption component for a compression phase and for a decompression phase, at least one valve assembly with two electrically variable flow resistance regulated by regulating valves 68a, 68b, 66a,

Art Unit: 3683

66b at least one fixed bypass valve 60 with a non-varying constricted flow cross-section hydraulically and directly paralleling the flow-regulating system, figure 1 shows throttle valve 60 in parallel with both valves 68a, 68b, 66a, 66b as clearly shown in figure 1, whereby the bypass valve has a constant opened flow-through cross section hydraulically in parallel with the regulating valves, said at least one flow regulating system for the compression phase and said at least one flow regulating system for the decompression phase being in the form of said regulating valves with variable flow constriction, said flow resistance being continuous for providing continuous damping between soft and hard damping, said bypass valve preventing pressure pulses in damping fluid when said regulating valves transfers rapidly from open to close positions corresponding to upward wheel shocks and sudden wheel accelerations, so that sudden jolts are prevented when shifting between soft and hard damping for comfort in riding in said vehicles, and said bypass valve is integratable into the flow regulating system and having minimal passage for hydraulic fluid and preventing the dashpot from being entirely blocked when the regulating valves are closed, as shown in figure 1, said flowregulating system for the compression and decompression phases forming main flow channels through said shock-absorption component, said valve assembly with electrically variable flow resistance forming a main valve assembly for said shockabsorption component, said fixed bypass valve 60 having a constant non-adjustable flow cross section, as shown in figure 1.

Art Unit: 3683

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 6 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seufert.

Re: claim 6, Seufert's regulated dashpot, as rejected in claim 1, lacks the throttle valve 60 being accommodated in a separate unit outside the dashpot and communicates with said dashpot through a hydraulic line. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Seufert's dashpot to arrange for throttle valve 60 to be accommodated in a separate unit outside the dashpot and communicates with the dashpot through a hydraulic line so that it would provide easy access to the throttle valve in case of repair. Furthermore, it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70.

Re: claim 11, Seufert shows a regulated dashpot with shock-absorption force controls in figure 1, for motor vehicles, as in the present invention, comprising: at least one flow-regulating system including at least one shock-absorption component for a compression phase and for a decompression phase, at least one valve assembly with two electrically variable flow resistance regulated by regulating valves 68a, 68b, 66a, 66b at least one fixed bypass valve 60 with a non-varying constricted flow cross-section

Art Unit: 3683

hydraulically and directly paralleling the flow-regulating system, figure 1 shows throttle valve 60 in parallel with both valves 68a, 68b, 66a, 66b as clearly shown in figure 1, whereby the bypass valve has a constant opened flow-through cross section hydraulically in parallel with the regulating valves, said at least one flow regulating system for the compression phase and said at least one flow regulating system for the decompression phase being in the form of said regulating valves with variable flow constriction, said flow resistance being continuous for providing continuous damping between soft and hard damping, said bypass valve preventing pressure pulses in damping fluid when said regulating valves transfers rapidly from open to close positions corresponding to upward wheel shocks and sudden wheel accelerations, so that sudden jolts are prevented when shifting between soft and hard damping for comfort in riding in said vehicles, and said bypass valve is integratable into the flow regulating system and having minimal passage for hydraulic fluid and preventing the dashpot from being entirely blocked when the regulating valves are closed, as shown in figure 1. Seufert's regulated dashpot, as discussed above, lacks the throttle valve 60 being accommodated in a separate unit outside the dashpot and communicates with said dashpot through a hydraulic line. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Seufert's dashpot to arrange for throttle valve 60 to be accommodated in a separate unit outside the dashpot and communicate with the dashpot through a hydraulic line so that it would provide easy access to the throttle valve in case of repair. Furthermore, it has been held that

Art Unit: 3683

rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70.

6. Claims 1, 6, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Preukschat et al. (USP 4,986,393) in view of Seufert.

Re: claims 1, 6 and 10, Preukschat et al. show a regulated dashpot with shockabsorption force controls in figure 1c, for motor vehicles, as in the present invention, comprising: at least one flow-regulating system including at least one shock-absorption component for a compression phase and for a decompression phase, at least one valve assembly with two electrically variable flow resistance regulated by regulating valves 8.1, 8.2, 7.1, 7.1.1, 7.1.2, 7.2, 7.2.1, 7.2.2 at least one bypass valve 7.3, figure 1 shows valve 7.3 in parallel with both valves 8.1, 8.2, 7.1, 7.1.1, 7.1.2, 7.2, 7.2.1, 7.2.2 as clearly shown in figure 1c, said at least one flow regulating system for the compression phase and said at least one flow regulating system for the decompression phase being in the form of said regulating valves with variable flow constriction, said flow resistance being continuous for providing continuous damping between soft and hard damping. said bypass valve preventing pressure pulses in damping fluid when said regulating valves transfers rapidly from open to close positions corresponding to upward wheel shocks and sudden wheel accelerations, so that sudden jolts are prevented when shifting between soft and hard damping for comfort in riding in said vehicles, and said bypass valve is in a separate unit outside of said dashpot and communicate with said dashpot through hydraulic lines, as shown in figure 1c, and having minimal passage for hydraulic fluid and preventing the dashpot from being entirely blocked when the

Art Unit: 3683

regulating valves are closed, as shown in figure 1c, said flow-regulating system for the compression and decompression phases forming main flow channels through said shock-absorption component, said valve assembly with electrically variable flow resistance forming a main valve assembly for said shock-absorption component, as shown in figure 1c. Preukschat et al. show bypass valve 7.3 as a two way spring biased valve. Seufert teaches a throttle valve 60 with a non-varying constricted, constant opened and constant non-adjustable flow-through cross section in figure 1. Seufert further teaches in column 5, lines 58 and 59, that an art equivalent throttle valve to a non-varying constricted, constant opened and constant non-adjustable flow-through cross section valve is a spring biased valve. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Preukschat et al.'s dashpot with a non-varying constricted, constant opened and constant nonadjustable flow-through cross section valve in place of a spring biased valve as taught by Seufert since it is old and well known in the art of dashpot dampening to utilize either a non-varying constricted, constant opened and constant non-adjustable flow-through cross section valve or a spring biased valve; since these two types of valves are considered to be art equivalent and to replace one for the other only requires routine skill in the art as taught by Seufert.

Re: claim 11, the discussion for the rejection of claims 1, 6 and 10 meet all the claimed limitations of claim 11.

Art Unit: 3683

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lan Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-308-8347. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor can be reached on 703-308-0830. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lan Nguyen Patent Examiner Art Unit 3683

2 8 05